



STAFFORDSHIRE
POLICE

Barton-under-Needwood Parish Council Meeting – 02.03.2023

The Figures stated below incorporate the Policing Area known as "Needwood". This incorporates the villages of Barton-under-Needwood, Catholme, Rangemore, Tatenhill and Wychnor. All figures, unless stated otherwise, incorporate a twelve-month period which in this instance captures data from 25/02/2022 to 25/02/2023.

Crime

Overall

3% increase this year compared to last year – 209 incidents last year to 215 incidents this year, an increase of 6 crimes

Biggest Reductions – Top Three

Business Robbery – 1 incident last year to 0 incidents this year

Personal Robbery – 2 incidents last year to 0 incidents this year

Arson – 2 incidents last year to 1 incident this year

Biggest Increase

There has been an increase of reports of Theft of Motor Vehicle. This has risen from 5 incidents last year to 11 incidents this year

Pattern or Trend

There have been a few incidents involving youths around Collinson Park. Regular patrols are carried out along all roads and Hot Spot Locations. Targeted patrols are taking place in various location around Barton to counter specific but unrelated incidents. There has been an upward trend of Theft of Motor Vehicles in the local area.

ASB

Overall

Reports of Anti-Social Behaviour have decreased over the year from 50 incidents last year to 48 incidents this year, a decrease of 4%

The figures on this report have been pulled from NICHE under a new system. As such there are some data quality issues surrounding the usage of ASB occurrence types. The force is working hard to resolve this.

HOT Spot Locations

The following areas are being patrolled as per our current patrol plan: Holland Park Sports Club, adjacent car parks and drive ways, the fishpond, Collinson Road Park, Oak Road Shops, short-cuts around Fallowfield Drive and the brook, Captains Lane, Mill Lane, and the general Barton area. The industrial estates and more rural access around the village are utilised to assist in patrolling more remote areas. Visible foot patrol in this area has increased since the introduction of the New Policing Model. The current focus for local officers is the increase in reports of drinking and littering at Collinson park.

Community engagement

Contact details of the Ward Team are:-

- PCSO Tim Leathers – timothy.leathers@staffordshire.police.uk
- PC John MacDonald – john.macdonald@staffordshire.police.uk
- Sgt Keith Jones – keith.jones@staffordshire.police.uk

Smart Alert

Be SMART and Keep Updated – Get FREE, Localised Crime Alerts and Community Safety advice by utilising the Staffordshire Smart Alert App. This is available FREE and is available for both Apple and Android devices. You can also get Email alerts through the following website; www.staffordshiresmartalert.uk/staffs//

Digital 101

Digital 101 means that Members of the public can now report non-emergency incidents or make general enquires to Staffordshire Police through the force's main Twitter and Facebook accounts.

Staffordshire Police is one of the first forces to facilitate crime reporting through social media and this development supports the force's ongoing work to develop new and more convenient ways for the public to make contact with the police, anywhere, any time. It's also the latest development in the force's transformational programme, following the launch of its new operating model last summer.

Staffordshire Police is committed to providing the best possible service to the communities it serves and to delivering a modern police service reflective of modern-day needs.

The service launched on 4th March 2019 and is available to the public 7am – midnight, seven days a week. Members of the public can contact the force through its Facebook Account using the message facility or Facebook Messenger, Twitter users can Direct Message us via the Staffordshire Police Twitter Account @StaffsPolice

Barton under Needwood Parish Council - Receipts and Payments Monthly Summary
2022-2023

Receipts	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March	Total	Budget	Budget Balance
Rents	725.00	75.00	37.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	837.50		
Interest	0.41	0.51	0.63	0.57	1.95	2.63	3.40	9.66	22.32	111.43	48.51	0.00	202.02		
ESBC	38,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	38,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	76,000.00		
LA Other	932.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	932.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,865.00		
Burial Ground	1,065.00	450.00	955.90	2,455.00	1,100.00	255.00	705.00	2,110.00	2,550.00	1,100.00	450.00	630.00	13,825.90	12,000	13,826
Fishpond	966.93	225.00	180.00	625.00	319.00	162.46	117.00	117.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,712.39	3,000	2,712
VAT	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9,862.34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9,862.34		
Car Park	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9,367.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9,367.88		
BVH Sal, Tax & NIC	972.50	954.45	1,022.07	960.81	1,170.17	982.60	1,049.82	1,042.84	952.96	1,005.38	1,073.04	0.00	11,186.64		
Other	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Grants/donations	120.00	50.00	0.00	137.59	0.00	0.00	50.00	10,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10,357.59		
Total Income	42,782.34	1,754.96	2,196.10	4,178.97	11,959.00	40,335.19	1,925.22	23,141.84	3,525.28	2,216.81	1,571.55	630.00	136,217.26		

Payments	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March	Total	Budget	Budget Balance
Bank Charges	10.25	13.25	41.51	0.00	14.35	36.75	0.00	11.04	28.48	0.00	11.25	0.00	166.88		
Salaries	2,711.80	2,711.60	2,948.85	2,711.60	2,771.03	3,012.27	2,771.03	3,496.82	3,128.29	2,720.17	2,719.97	0.00	31,703.43		
HMRC	1,432.70	1,033.38	1,071.38	1,155.59	1,012.22	1,033.19	1,132.89	974.06	1,476.77	1,281.04	1,106.17	0.00	12,709.39		
Nest Pensions	203.07	326.72	0.00	163.36	163.36	163.36	163.36	163.36	422.15	194.04	183.99	0.00	2,146.77		
Admin	1,211.78	1,722.19	566.25	617.25	296.50	1,063.68	386.08	604.39	230.30	613.71	42.60	0.00	7,354.73		
Burial Ground	16.67	33.34	1,566.91	283.47	142.91	815.01	79.20	175.01	313.66	141.67	176.23	0.00	3,744.08	3,500.00	-244.08
Allotments	310.00	0.00	571.65	542.50	100.50	141.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	212.65	0.00	1,878.97	2,439.00	560.03
Fishpond	75.00	181.26	624.70	0.00	364.08	0.00	173.48	75.00	276.78	83.00	327.27	0.00	2,180.57	5,500.00	3,319.43
Donations	0.00	25.00	0.00	120.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	170.50	0.00	70.00	0.00	0.00	385.50		
P&OS	80.00	1,302.18	1,145.94	1,015.09	80.00	2,805.27	426.03	1,027.14	80.00	80.00	2,586.90	0.00	10,628.55	17,300.00	6,671.45
Capital Exp	0.00	237.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	237.50		
Maintenance	0.00	109.37	56.75	30.24	0.00	0.00	46.31	0.00	937.69	20.42	33.58	0.00	1,234.36		
Car Park	76.00	76.00	95.00	76.00	76.00	95.00	76.00	76.00	95.00	76.00	76.00	0.00	893.00		
Lengthsmen	190.00	190.00	237.50	190.00	190.00	237.50	190.00	190.00	337.50	190.00	190.00	0.00	2,332.50		
General payments	0.00	54.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.00	0.00	5.25	41.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	114.00		
Projects	0.00	137.59	620.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	757.59		
BVH Contra	1,031.12	1,036.45	999.07	985.36	1,003.36	1,052.91	1,120.13	1,108.28	1,030.09	1,060.02	1,003.24	0.00	11,430.03		
VEC	0.00	0.00	42.98	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	87.80	97.97	0.00	30.00	258.75	1,000.00	741.25
VAT	22.57	275.75	758.91	250.75	90.83	889.88	116.00	247.43	323.39	48.41	575.19	0.00	3,599.11		
Total Spend	7,370.96	9,466.34	11,347.40	8,141.21	6,305.14	11,358.49	6,680.51	8,324.28	8,809.89	6,676.45	9,245.04	30.00	93,755.71		

Barton under Needwood Parish Council			Bank Reconciliation as per statements at:		02-Mar-23
Lloyds Current A/C			£	2,000.00	
Lloyds Deposit (Instant Access) A/C			£	86,501.41	
National Savings			£	73,922.73	
Petty Cash			£	150.00	
Total Bank Balances					£ 162,574.14
<u>Add Credits not on Statement</u>					
				630.00	
					£630.00
					£ 163,204.14
<u>Less unrepresented payments:-</u>					
		3432		50.00	
		DD		183.99	
		Card		30.00	
					£263.99
					£ 162,940.15
Opening Balances :-			Bank Statements as at 31st March 2021		
Lloyds Current A/C			£	2,001.00	
Lloyds Deposit A/C			£	43,546.83	
National Savings			£	73,853.99	
Petty Cash			£	150.00	
Parish Council -			Total	£ 119,551.82	
<i>Add Receipts to date</i>			£	136,217.26	
<i>Less Expenditure to date</i>			£	92,828.93	
Total Cash and Investments as at -				£ 162,940.15	
					Difference
					-£ 0.00
RFO, S. Rumsby					

**Barton under Needwood Parish Council -
Scheduled Payments presented to Full Council**

02/03/2023

Payee	Description	£ Value Gross	£ VAT	£ NET	
Salaries total		3,817.15		3,817.15	HR
Nest		194.04		194.04	HR
HMRC		1,106.17		1,106.17	HR
Mitmark	Collinson park CCTV	96.00	16.00	80.00	P&OS
Lloyds	Bank charges	8.70		8.70	Admin
HSC	Donation in lieu of rent	450.00		450.00	S.137
Pennon Water	Allotments water	131.44		131.44	Allotments
Pennon Water	Burial Ground water	113.73		113.73	Burial Ground
Cheeky Monkeys	Deposit face painters	30.00		30.00	VEC
Eureka Garden Services	Replacement Bollards	1,340.00		1,340.00	P&OS
Cllr G Hughes	Expenses water pipes	131.93	16.24	115.69	Allotments
SPCA	Election Training	36.00	6.00	30.00	Admin
Plaswood	Bollards	3,008.28	501.38	2,506.90	P&OS Maintenance
Cllr J Taylor	Padlocks The Square	20.29	3.38	16.91	Repairs
Barton Fields	Topsoil	75.00	12.50	62.50	Burial Ground
				10,003.24	

BT Price Increase notification (16.2.23)

From 1 April 2023 we'll be increasing the price of some products and services by **14.4%**, as outlined in the terms and conditions of your contract.

The price increase is calculated using the Consumer Price Index (CPI) rate of inflation which is at **10.5 %** as published by The Office for National Statistics on 18 January 2023. We then add an additional **3.9%** to enable us to continue to invest in the UK's digital future and improve the service we provide to you.

As with all businesses, inflation drives up the cost to run and maintain our network every year. That's why we believe that the use of the CPI rate as a baseline to calculate our annual price rise is fair and enables us to continue to run our network.

PLANNING – All matters have been referred to the Planning Committee and their comments appear in italics below, the Committee meet fortnightly 5pm, in the Douglas Room, Barton Village Hall. Please contact the clerk for dates should you wish to attend any Planning Meetings

1. 01284 - 120 Efflinch Lane : Erection of a part two storey and single storey side extension
2. 01358 - Midlands Co-operative Society, Crowberry Lane : Retention of 1 x externally illuminated fascia sign, 1 x halo illuminated fascia sign, 1 x externally illuminated projecting sign, and 2 x non illuminated fascia panel signs

These signs appeared on the Co-op building towards the end of last year. This is, therefore, a retrospective planning application for the signs that are already in place. Five signs, as listed above, form the application, two at the rear of the building are non-illuminated and three on the front and side are illuminated. No explanation of the need for the signs has been provided but we assume that this is part of a national or perhaps just a regional roll-out of a new style corporate logo.

The Co-op building is located in not just the commercial/retail heart of the village, but also the Conservation Area. The current store was built on the site of a derelict petrol filling station. The Parish Council was grateful, therefore, that the Co-op had clearly gone to some length and attention to detail to ensure that the design, scale of the proposal and the materials suited the street scene and the village character.

Because it had acted appropriately in the past, we have been disappointed that the Co-op had chosen to proceed with the implementation of this signage in advance of submitting a planning application. They appear to have imposed a corporate branding without taking sufficient account of the context and the conservation area. The least we would have expected was some explanation in the form of a Design Statement and in particular some indication that the importance of the conservation area had influenced the quality and design of the signing. There is, for example, no description of the significance of the heritage assets as required under para. 194 of the National Planning Policy Framework 2021.

Local Plan policy SP25 states that development proposals should protect, conserve, and enhance heritage assets and their settings. This should include the use of high-quality design, as reflected also in Policy SP 24. Detailed Policy DP 5 reiterates the need to protect and enhance heritage assets as proposals are expected to make a positive contribution to the fabric of, in this case, the conservation area. Specifically, development in conservation areas will be permitted where it can be demonstrated that it would protect and enhance its character and appearance.

Policy DP 6 provides some specific guidance where proposals for shopfronts in conservation areas will be expected to use traditional materials and finishes. This policy is aided by ESBC's Shopfronts Design Guide 2019. Para 2.6 states that in conservation areas shopfronts, "..... make a significant contribution to their special character and appearance. Given the large number of shopfronts and businesses which are located in conservation areas it is key that applicants closely follow this guidance".

This SPD states (para 5.22), "Where justified, illumination should be discreet and low level and incorporated as part of the design". In para 5.23 it states that, "Where letters are illuminated they should be halo illuminated.....The degree of illumination should be kept to a minimum and be white/warm light.....Contemporary projecting signs should be restricted to modern shopfronts.....".

From the illustrations accompanying the planning application, we cannot see that there is much compliance with the Local Plan policies. Clearly, they do not seem to have closely followed the guidance as requested in the SPD. The lack of any Design or Heritage Statement means that there is no explanation or justification for what the Co-op is trying to achieve and how they have taken into account its location in the conservation area.

We set out below the Parish Council's comments on each of the signs:-

Item 1 Externally illuminated Fascia sign – this is the sign immediately above the shop window on the Main Street frontage. It is illuminated by what we think is called trough lighting. This seems to be a length of lighting immediately above the sign which illuminates the entire length of the frontage panel. Whilst the lighting clearly shines downwards, we feel that it is far too extensive and far too bright. The white background, which we assume is plastic, emphasises this brightness by its ability to reflect. Accordingly, we cannot see that it meets the criteria set out in the SPD by keeping the brightness to a minimum and being discreet and low level. There is also no indication that traditional materials and finishes have been used as set out in Policy DP 6.

Item 2 Halo Illuminated Letters on rails – this is the sign above the main entrance on Crowberry Lane. Whilst this signage meets the criteria in the SPD for it being halo lit, we feel that it is too dominant a logo for the façade and again too bright and not really discreet.

Item 3 Externally illuminated D/sided projecting sign – This hanging sign has lime green lettering on a dark green background. For some unexplained reason it uses a different colour background to the other white background signs. It looks superfluous against the totality of the overall signage, and it also appears lost in the street scene against the existing street clutter. We fail to see what function it performs. The descriptions suggests that it is illuminated by the existing trough lighting for the Main Street frontage, but as this focus is downwards, we wonder whether the lighting is indeed effective.

Item 4 and Item 5 Non- illuminated panels using acrylic letters – These signs are located at the rear of the building within the storage and delivery area, but at the same time prominent from the Village Hall car park. Although they are at the rear and not illuminated, they are very prominent, and this prominence is emphasized by the bright lime green colour on the white background. From what we can gather from the Shopfronts SPD such high gloss finishes are to be avoided.

Poster sized advert – we have also noted a poster sized advert sandwiched between the two fake windows on the Crowberry Lane wall of the Co-op just immediately beyond the ATM machine. It has the heading, “What’s going on in Barton” and is maybe intended as some form of community information. As far as we are aware the Parish Council has not been consulted about this board and, in any event, the Parish Council’s noticeboard is already available outside the Village Hall, immediately to the rear of the Co-op. The Post Office window is also used for community information. With other opportunities in the very near vicinity we, therefore, feel that this board is not only superfluous but detracts from the intentional design of the building which was to have a faux residential appearance. We do not know if it was intended that this board was meant to be part of the package of the signs for this application or indeed whether planning permission is actually required. If it doesn’t need permission, then there is not very much we can do about it other than to talk to the Co-op directly. If it does need permission, then we would wish to object. We think it is contrary to Policies SP 25 and DP 5 in that it does not protect, conserve and enhance the conservation area. In addition, we cannot see that it meets the Shopfronts SPD and Policy DP 9 as it does not respond well to the character of the building, is inappropriate and unduly prominent.

In summary, we feel that the proposed signing is contrary to Policy SP 24. It has not been demonstrated that this is either high quality design or that it makes a positive contribution to the area. It is also contrary to conservation policies SP 25 and DP 5 as there is no evidence that the proposal protects, conserves and enhances the conservation area. The signage appears to be the standard corporate branding and not enough attention has been paid to the sensitivity of its location. We object also on the grounds that the illuminated signs are too bright and not discreet and are, therefore, also contrary to the guidance as set out in the Shopfronts SPD. We feel that that the Co-op could usefully refer to the Middle Bell PH as an example of more subdued and discreet lighting and signing which better befits the conservation area.

3. 01178 - 401 Lichfield Road : Erection of a single storey rear extension
We have no objection to this application though we are surprised to see that according to the application form the proposed extension will not involve the use of any external materials.
 (“Materials, Does the proposed development require any materials to be used externally?”, “ No”)
We presume the planning authority will investigate this anomaly.
4. 00051 - 10 Meadow Rise : Erection of a two storey side extension replacing existing garage, single storey rear/side in-fill extension, and replacement of flat roofed dormer with front gable, cladding to the front and side elevations of the remodelled dormer - no objections
5. 00055 - Belmont, Bar Lane : Erection of a first floor side extension, part two storey part single storey side and rear extension, installation of first floor window on west elevation and Juliet balcony to rear, erection of a new replacement shed to the rear
This proposal is for an extension to a cottage of traditional appearance with small “cottagey” windows.
The property is outside the settlement boundary. DP3 requires extensions to such dwellings to be, “modest in relation to the original dwelling and retain its identity”. Whilst modest is not easily defined it can hardly be said that the significant proportion of glass proposed for the rear elevation retains the identity of the original dwelling. SP 24 is a requirement for high quality design. The style of the proposed extension would no doubt be acceptable within an existing settlement as a new 21st century building but clashes rather than complements the existing cottage and rural setting.
We therefore object to this application in its current form.

6. 00125 - 10-12 Main Street : Demolition of existing sunroom to facilitate the erection of a singlestorey rear extension to form kitchen and family room, canopy roof with associated internal alterations, erection of a detached garage and formation of driveway (Revised Scheme) AND
7. 00127 - 10-12 Main Street : Listed Building Consent for the Demolition of existing sunroom to facilitate the erection of a singlestorey rear extension to form kitchen and family room, canopy roof with associated internal alterations, erection of a detached garage and formation of driveway (Revised Scheme)

From what we can gather, this new planning application puts forward proposed amendments to the application for a single storey rear extension and relocated garage approved under P/2022/001094/01095 in November 2022. Both these proposals involve the demolition of a pitched roofed rear extension and sunroom/conservatory to be replaced by a flat roof brick built rear extension of a similar length but on a slightly wider footprint.

The Applicant's Design and Access and Heritage Statement notes that the aim is to provide a high-quality modern addition to the existing building which offers a good contrast in styles between the old and the new. In this present application, however, the proposal is still to be brick built but with a white render finish, which according to the Statement, will remain sympathetic to the existing property.

The Parish Council previously made what we thought was a detailed and considered submission regarding the earlier planning application. Rather than repeat the detail of what we said, we would wish those objections to remain, and we attach a copy accordingly. In addition, however, we are somewhat alarmed that, what we felt, was the one redeeming feature of the previous proposal i.e. the use of brick has now been negated by using white render. We cannot understand the logic of why you would want to have an extension built in brick which you then immediately render, especially in this case where brick would be the most appropriate material to match with the existing listed building. Indeed this seemed to be the issue expressed in the case officer's report, where, ".....the brick finish of the building would ensure that this integrates well with the existing property and surrounding area" (P/2022/01094 Section dealing with Impacts on Visual Amenities).

This lack of explanation continues in the supporting statement. Why is a more contemporary flat roof extension favoured? How does white render complement the listed building? Why is it necessary to have a contrast in styles? How is this contrast sympathetic to the existing property? How does this modern addition enhance the area? What is the reasoning behind the slight change in the window design on the side elevation? Whilst this Design, Access and Heritage Statement provides a little more information, this lack of explanation and justification of the design philosophy means that we still feel that it fails to meet the requirements of National Planning Policy Framework and Policy DP 5 for a Statement of Significance.

Once again, we disagree with the applicant's Statement to the effect that only minimal views will be gained from Main Street. Looking from Main Street into the driveway with an open gate, then the majority of the sunroom/conservatory is visible. This means that a similar amount of the flat roofed extension will still be seen. Whilst obviously the sunroom is a modern addition, because it has a pitched roof, we feel that it is not out of keeping. The flat roof and white render with the patio doors in that side elevation will only, to our minds, exacerbate its contemporary feel and emphasise its contrast with the existing listed building. Without an understanding and explanation of how this will work, we just cannot see that this juxtaposition of styles will lessen the impact on the adjacent properties as suggested by the applicants.

We do not believe that these proposed amendments have produced a design which makes a positive contribution to the area and indeed one which protects, enhances and conserves the heritage assets. Accordingly, we wish to retain our objections to the proposal as being contrary to Policies SP25, DP 5 and SP 24. With reference to Policy SP 24, because this is such an important building for the village, we would once again wish to request ESBC to refer this proposal to the Design Review Panel, as allowed for under this policy.

As an aside, after reading the Case Officer's report on the previous application, we wrote to ESBC requesting a further explanation and justification for how they had reached their decision to approve the proposal. We have not, at the time of writing, received any response.

8. 00133 - 6 Sutton Crescent : Erection of a single storey rear extension, partial conversion of garage to form utility room and front porch – *no objections*
9. 00104 - 50 Station Road : Demolition of existing garage to facilitate the erection of single storey side and rear extensions and installation of first floor side window
We have no objection to this application.
We do however point out the errors in the location map and block plan. The map orientation is 180 degrees out with north and south being reversed and Meadow Rise is labelled as Holland Park.

10. 00182 - 85 Ash Tree Road : Infill of the existing garage/carport and erection of a single storey rear extension
The existing finish for this building is described as red brick. The application proposes the finish for the new building as off-white rendering. Whilst this may be of little matter at the rear of the property the rendered appearance of the large protruding extension at the front does concern us.
DP1 requires ESBC to, "Have regard to materials to be used within the development and how they interrelate with each other, their immediate and overall context and any traditional and vernacular materials used in the area."
Ash Tree Road is on an estate of houses built of brick. This large construction at the front of the house, if rendered, will be conspicuous and be a visual intrusion rather than fitting within the context of the street scene.


For this reason we object to this application in its current form.
11. 00209 - Barton Hall : Prune away from residential property to give a 2 metres clearance of one Lime tree
We have no objection to the proposed work.
We do wish to draw attention to the error in the application form. The location of the tree and building is not Barton Hall which is some distance along Dunstall Road.
The building in question is The Coach House, Dunstall Road at the junction with Palmer Close.
12. 00211 - The Sidings, 94 Station Road : Felling of 21 Leyland trees
We have no objection to this application.
The removal of these trees will enhance the entrance to the village and conservation area.
13. [SCC/23/0012/VOC](#) - To vary permission following trial to allow factory to continue to operate 24/7 at Newbold Quarry Concrete Products Factory, Barton under Needwood
14. P/2022/01472 - Barton Marina : Erection of detached building to form 17 motel units (Use Class C1)

ESBC Decisions – Permissions Granted

15. 01303 - 2 Holland Park : Demolition of existing conservatory to facilitate the erection of a single storey rear extension, installation of cladding to the front and rear elevations, conversion of existing attached garage to form office/playroom and erection of a detached garage
16. 01280 - Unit 6A, Graycar Business Park, Walton Lane, Barton Turn : Erection of a detached building for storage purposes in association with the existing site (Class B8)
17. 01474 - 88 Station Road : Crown reduction by 1 - 1.5m or nearest growth point to one Swamp cypress tree (T1), crown reduction by 1.5m or the nearest suitable growth point to one Cherry tree (T2)

ESBC Decisions – Application Refused

18. 01448 - Barn at Forest Thorn Farm : Prior Approval for the conversion of an agricultural building to a dwelling

Barton under Needwood Parish Council	
	<p>Clerk to the Council: Siobhan Rumsby Parish Council Office, Village Hall, Crowberry Lane Barton-under-Needwood Burton upon Trent DE13 8AF 01283 716059 clerk@bartonunderneedwood-pc.gov.uk www.bartonunderneedwood-pc.gov.uk</p>
Efflinch Lane Allotments Rules and Regulations – effective 1 April 2023	

Introduction

Barton under Needwood Parish Council is the Authority with powers to regulate and operate the Allotments on Efflinch Lane, Barton under Needwood. All enquiries should be made to the Clerk in the first instance. Our Lettings Secretary is Graham Hughes.

These Regulations have been made by the Council in relation to the Allotments on Efflinch Lane, Barton under Needwood

Plot Rent

All plot rents must be payable within one month of the annual notice. Rent is subject to revision at any time and you will be provided notice of any changes.

Change of Address

In order for the Parish to maintain accurate records and be able to contact individuals in relation to the allotments, all changes of address must be notified to the Clerk.

Waiting List

When all allotments are let, the Parish Council holds a waiting list. Anybody on the waiting list before 1st April 2023 will not be subject to these rules and regulations unless explicitly stated. Those who meet the criteria can request to be added to the waiting list by contacting the Lettings Secretary.

Residency Conditions

The Council will accept applications for allotment plots from Barton under Needwood residents only. Proof of address may be requested. Persons on the waiting list prior to 1st April 2023 will remain on the waiting list but Barton residents will be given priority.

Allocation

The Clerk shall maintain a list of plots and our Lettings Secretary will fill any vacancies from the waiting list in chronological order.

Due to increasing demand for allotments, plots will now be restricted to one plot per household. Those already occupying more than one plot will be allowed to continue for the duration of their current agreement. Plots must be worked by the plot holder named on the agreement. No sub-letting or transfer of ownership is allowed.

Determination on Default

Any person who has had their tenancy terminated for any breach of their agreement will not be allowed to reapply for an allotment plot.

Amendment of Rules and Regulations

The Council reserves the right to make alterations, additions or amendments to the Rules and Regulations from time to time as necessary or desirable.

CORRESPONDENCE

GENERAL

1. Copy resident complaint to ESBC about a large bin being removed from outside Red Lion – *clerk responded*
2. Resident complaint regarding Mardi Gras road block – *clerk responded*
3. Copy resident complaint to JTHS about pest control measures and stray shots from school playing fields, Small Meadows Lane, reported to police
4. Resident complaint regarding Co-op signage – *clerk responded*
5. Resident concerns re report of loose dog in Collinson Park – *Cllrs attended*
6. Resident report of broken bridge on footpath 13 and broken fencing on entrance to Barton Marina at bottom of Gilmour Lane
7. Approach from Tutbury Cllr regarding family neighbour dispute asking for BPC assistance – *Clerk responded*
8. Resident concerns re plans for Coronation and possible road closure affecting business – *clerk responded*
9. Resident query asking for return of sheep to field on corner of Efflinch Lane and Mill Lane – *clerk responded*
10. Resident query whether planning permission obtained for Barton Marina houseboard – *clerk responded*
11. Copy resident report to police - photos showing alcohol use in Collinson Park – *clerk responded*

SCC/HIGHWAYS

12. Cllr Jessel: reports and communications, notes from Needwood Division Forum circulated;
13. County division boundaries consultation reminder closing 20/3/23 - *circulated*

ESBC

14. Parish Council Forum 7/3/23, 6.30pm

POLICE

15. Report circulated.
16. New method of reporting crime via website chat – *circulated*
17. Drop In sessions to be circulated noticeboards and social media.

SPCA/NALC/SLCC

18. SPCA – Newsletters, training circulars; Election resources